UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,005	06/11/2004	Daniel J. VanEpps, Jr.	U03-0174.075	4004
	7590 06/22/200 N ALLEN PLLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1370		DANIELS, ANTHONY J		
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/710,005	VANEPPS, JR., DANIEL J.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANTHONY J. DANIELS	2622		

	ANTHONY J. DANIELS	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 8 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extraction extractional extraction	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on 6/11/2009. A brief in co of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exi Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a content of the present additional claims. 			ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	one openang nambor or many rej	octod cidimo.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [」 will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi	•	-				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-14,16-29 and 31-41. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Sinh Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622	Anthony J. Daniels AU 2622						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Applicant argues with respect to claims 1,22 and 34 that the Koshu's label is not releasably adhered. Applicant supports this argument by claiming that Koshu's label separates upon removal. The examiner fails to see difference between the two properties. Koshu's alleged separation upon removal is in fact a releasable adherence evidenced by the passage contained in the SOULTION section of the Abstract where it states, "...a release layer [of the preventive label]...forming a tacky adhesive layer...". The examiner believes this passage more than proves a releasable adherence. Thus, Koshu does make obvious the claim features at issue.